

*The Creative Curriculum*<sup>®</sup>  
*Developmental Continuum*  
*for Ages 3 - 5:*  
**The Assessment Component of**  
*The Creative Curriculum*<sup>®</sup> *for Preschool*

**Technical Report**  
2003

*Richard G. Lambert*  
*UNC Charlotte*  
*College of Education*  
*Charlotte, NC 28223*  
*910-962-3917*  
[rlamber@uncc.edu](mailto:rlamber@uncc.edu)

## Introduction

*The Creative Curriculum*<sup>®</sup> for Preschool is the leading curricular model used by Head Start programs, according to a survey by the National Head Start Association. *The Creative Curriculum* is used by 39.1% of the programs, the High/Scope curriculum is used by 20%, and the remaining programs use a variety of other curricula (McKey, Pai-Samant, & Sorongon, 2002). In addition, many school districts nationwide have adopted *The Creative Curriculum* for their preschool classrooms. *The Creative Curriculum* is a comprehensive and integrative curricular model for early childhood programs. It offers multiple examples of how teachers can craft challenges that enable children to progress in their learning. It offers teachers a range of teaching approaches, showing them how to talk with children about what they are doing, ask questions, and challenge children's thinking, all directed toward extending children's language and guiding their learning. Explicit guidance about how to teach content in literacy, math, science, social studies, the arts, and technology shows teachers that content learning can occur all day long.

There are multiple ways in which *The Creative Curriculum* can be considered comprehensive, particularly when it is implemented in concert with the appropriate training and technical assistance. It incorporates opportunities for teachers to integrate multiple content areas into the early childhood classroom. It offers teachers guidance regarding a wide range of quality practices including arrangement of the room, schedule, and learning environment. It also includes all the elements of a comprehensive curriculum: curricular goals, instructional strategies, and assessment. The focus of this report is on the third component, assessment.

*The Creative Curriculum*, when fully implemented by a trained teacher, encourages children's developmental progress and their mastery of content knowledge and skills. *The Creative Curriculum* focuses on child outcomes in language development, emergent literacy, emergent numeracy, cognition, general knowledge, and social competence through the use of *The Creative Curriculum*<sup>®</sup> *Developmental Continuum for Ages 3 – 5 Assessment System*. The *Developmental Continuum* is a teacher assessment tool and addresses four areas of development: social/emotional, physical, cognitive, and language. It outlines the progression of development in each of the four areas with 10 broad curricular goals.

These goals have been translated into 50 observable and measurable objectives and are represented by 50 specific items on the *Developmental Continuum*. Teachers make ratings of each child three times during the school year: fall, winter, and spring. Teachers are encouraged to maintain portfolios of student work along with anecdotal records, accumulating multiple sources of evidence that can inform the ratings. The process of completing the ratings requires the teacher to identify the developmental level of a specific child on a specific item according to a four-point scale. Each item is phrased in terms of specific behaviors and functional areas, and each of the four levels on the accompanying rating scale is anchored to descriptions of specific examples of these behaviors. The four levels have been identified as Forerunner, Step I, Step II, and Step III. The Forerunner level represents behaviors that may indicate a developmental delay or that a child has not previously been exposed to that skill. Still, this level represents strengths of the child upon which both future development and instructional strategies can build. Step III represents complete mastery of a particular goal while Steps I and II indicate the phases of development through which a child will pass on the way to

mastery. The *Developmental Continuum* is therefore organized to facilitate, for teachers and families, an understanding of both child development and the progress of specific classrooms and children.

The *Developmental Continuum* was introduced in 2001 and was developed to replace the *Child Development and Learning Checklist* (1992), a part of the 3<sup>rd</sup> edition of *The Creative Curriculum<sup>®</sup> for Preschool*. The *Developmental Continuum* was designed as an integral part of the 4<sup>th</sup> edition of *The Creative Curriculum<sup>®</sup> for Preschool* and reflects the expansions and refinements of the newer edition. There are two data management systems for use with the *Developmental Continuum* offered by Teaching Strategies: *The Creative Curriculum<sup>®</sup> Developmental Continuum Assessment Toolkit for Ages 3 – 5*, accompanied with pencil and paper forms and a teacher's guide and CreativeCurriculum.net, a web-based data logging and processing program. CreativeCurriculum.net facilitates online data entry, generation of various summary reports, suggestions for grouping children according to their developmental progress toward *The Creative Curriculum* objectives, activities, and instructional strategies that are linked to the assessment information. These resources, the *Developmental Continuum* and CreativeCurriculum.net, each offer the teacher an assessment system that is linked with a curriculum and can be used for several instructional and reporting purposes. The teacher can use the information they provide to:

- plan instructional experiences
- group children
- communicate with parents
- understand how children are learning and developing

The purpose of this report is to begin the process of accumulating evidence about the reliability and validity of the information that the assessment system provides. It is important to note that all of the reports and suggestions to teachers provided by the teacher's guide and website are based on information from single items. The website does not provide, or utilize, scale scores in any of its processing and suggestions, and is intended for formative assessment, formative evaluation, and instructional planning purposes. This report is an attempt to further facilitate the proper use of the information that can be provided by the *Developmental Continuum* for other purposes such as program planning and quality improvement, monitoring the implementation of the curriculum, and teacher development. In addition, researchers may choose to use the information the *Developmental Continuum* provides for more summative purposes such as research and program evaluation. This report presents evidence that can be useful to program administrators, researchers, and evaluators who desire to use the information provided by the *Developmental Continuum* by offering guidance about the formation of scale scores and their measurement properties.

## Sampling Strategies

The first step in constructing the sample for this study was to identify Head Start programs throughout the country that met specific criteria. The identified programs needed to:

- adopt the 4<sup>th</sup> edition of *The Creative Curriculum*<sup>®</sup> for Preschool
- receive *The Creative Curriculum* training
- demonstrate a reasonably complete implementation of *The Creative Curriculum*
- use the *Developmental Continuum* as suggested
- record the *Developmental Continuum* data in an electronic format

A total of 29 sites met the listed criteria. Data from 4 sites were omitted due to insufficient data. The remaining 25 sites resulted in total of 7,963 children. Winter checkpoint data were extracted in early February 2003. Generally, programs collect winter checkpoint data in January and February of each year. In many cases, teachers collect the data in pencil and paper form and enter into the online recording system at a later date. As a result, a number of cases were missing data on the winter checkpoint (4,927 cases). Child demographic data were missing in 403 cases and were therefore omitted. The remaining number of complete cases was 2,631.

Once the data from the total sample had been examined and verified using exploratory data analysis techniques, and any data entry issues had been addressed, the sample was examined for similarity to the composition of the national Head Start population. As the *Developmental Continuum* is not intended for use with children younger than three years old, this component of the national Head Start program was not considered in the sample design. The total original sample of 2,631 was reduced to 1,590 in order to closely approximate the demographic breakdown of the national Head Start population (excluding Early Head Start) with respect to ethnicity, gender, and age. These demographic variables were completely crossed to form a matrix. Random samples were taken from cells that were over-represented in the original sample. Table 1 describes the characteristics of the sample of 1,590 as compared to the national program. The “Other” ethnicity group was under-represented in the original sample and therefore all of these subjects were retained in the final sample. The central task in the sampling process involved randomly sampling from the Hispanic, African-American, and White ethnic without respect to gender or age as these larger ethnic groups were approximately balanced with respect to gender, and approximately representative with respect to age.

## Demographic Background Characteristics of the Sample

The sampling strategy was successful at resulting in an approximately representative sample with respect to ethnicity. All four categories, Hispanic, African-American, White, and Other were represented in approximately their respective proportions in the national program. The “Other” category, 6.6% of the sample, is comprised of Native American and Alaskan Native (1.0%), Asian (2.0%), and those families identifying themselves as other (3.8%). Boys (51.40%) and girls (48.60%) were represented by approximately equal groups in the sample. Most of the families (76.50%) reported that English was the primary language in their home while 20.30% reported Spanish. The remaining 3.10% reported other languages were used in the home.

The available characteristics of the national program, reported in Table 1, describe its characteristics at enrollment time. The winter *Developmental Continuum* assessment data was used for this study. Therefore the sample children were approximately four to six months older than they were at enrollment. This accounts for the slightly older composition of the sample as compared to the age statistics of the national program. The largest portion of the sample (55.79%) was four year olds at the time of the winter assessment. Three year olds comprised 25.09% of the sample while five year olds comprised 19.12% of the sample.

With respect to region, the initial admissibility criteria for program participation in the study did not result in a completely representative sample. There were no programs that could contribute to the study from Head Start regions I, VI, and X, and very few from region VIII. It should be noted that region VI comprises 13.62% of the national program and was not represented in the sample. However, regions I, VIII, and X are among the smallest regions in the Head Start program and none of them comprise more than approximately 3% of the program. Six of the Head Start regions were represented by a segment of the final sample that is within approximately three percentage points of their representation in the national program. For seven regions, the sample was within approximately six percentage points and regions V and IX were somewhat over-represented in the sample. While the sample did not completely replicate the regional distribution of the national program, it was approximately representative of those regions that participated.

### **Normative Information for Individual Items**

Tables 2, 3, 4, and 5 display the percentage of children in the sample who were rated by their teachers as falling within each of the four categories of the *Developmental Continuum* rating scale. Given the approximate representativeness of the sample, these results can be used as an estimate of the information that would be obtained had the entire Head Start population been rated on the *Developmental Continuum* during the middle of the Head Start year. From this information, teachers are able to understand how an individual child is progressing on specific items relative to a normative sample of the Head Start population. In addition, teachers and administrators may be able to compare the composition of their classrooms, centers, and programs on specific items with an estimate of the mixture of developmental levels in the Head Start population. The Winter assessment was selected for this study because it was felt that it would be most helpful to teachers, administrators, and researchers to begin with data that most clearly reflects the development of Head Start children during the course of the year as opposed to the beginning or end of the year. Future research will focus on the development of norm tables for the fall and spring assessments.

Table 2 reports the results for the entire sample. For 35 of the 50 items, the largest percentage of children was rated as Level III. For 13 of the items, the largest percentage of children was rated as Level II. These items could be considered more difficult in a psychometric sense, in that fewer children were given mastery and Level III ratings by their teachers at the time of the winter assessment. These results may suggest that Head Start children develop relatively slower on these items than they do in other areas. Items 11 and 13 relate to advanced social functioning while the remaining items relate to cognitive development. In contrast, for two items the largest percentage of children was

given mastery ratings making these items relatively easy in a psychometric sense. These items are 16 and 17 and relate to gross motor development. These results may suggest that Head Start children develop in these areas relatively faster than they do in other areas.

Tables 3, 4, and 5 separate the sample into three, four, and five year olds respectively. Teachers may use these tables to help understand the developmental progress of specific children relative to other Head Start children of their similar age. They may also be able to compare their classrooms to the Head Start population as a whole should they teach age-specific classrooms. For the three-year normative table, the largest percentage of children was rated at either Forerunner or Level I, reflectively their younger age and relative position in development. The four-year-old results most closely reflect those of the overall sample, as they comprise the majority of the sample. Table 5 contains the five year old results and reflects their age and more advanced development by the number of the items for which the largest percentage of children were given ratings of Level III.

### **Construct Validity**

Construct validity refers to the extent to which an instrument measures the theoretical constructs it is intended to measure. The *Developmental Continuum* was designed to provide teachers with formative assessment information in four broad areas: Social/Emotional, Physical, Cognitive, and Language development. However, little statistical evidence exists that can inform researchers about the constructs that are measured by the *Developmental Continuum*. Therefore, an exploratory factor analysis was conducted to begin the process of identifying the underlying dimensions of child development that are measured by the *Developmental Continuum*.

Principal axis factoring, a multivariate statistical technique, was used to extract the most salient underlying dimensions to the *Developmental Continuum*. SPSS statistical software was used to perform these analyses. This process can be thought of as organizing items into batches according to how well they relate to each other, or more specifically, yield information that correlates with the other items in the batch. Initial results suggested that three items, 21, 24, and 40, did not fit neatly into a single dimension. Item 21, “Uses tools for writing and drawing”, related to items that measure physical, cognitive, and language related development. This item probably taps into elements of both fine motor development and emergent literacy. Item 24, “Shows persistence in approaching tasks”, related to items that measure social and cognitive development, and probably measures both the way the child approaches tasks and the success the child has in mastering them. Item 40, “Understands and follows oral directions”, related to items that measure social, cognitive, and language related development. This item asks the teacher to rate an area of functioning that transcends all types activity probably involves a child’s ability to comprehend oral instruction and respond positively and appropriately to social situations. Therefore, given the content of all three items, these results fit within an understanding of child development. While these items provide teachers with important information and fit within the goals of *The Creative Curriculum*, the statistical results suggest that they measure components of multiple constructs, or areas of development. While these items were retained as part of the instrument, they were dropped from the subsequent analyses.

The results of subsequent principal axis factoring analyses without these items suggested that there are four underlying dimensions to the *Developmental Continuum*. Specifically, examination of the scree plot and initial eigenvalues suggested a four-factor solution. Once four factors were retained, the solution accounted for 69.3% of the variance in the data across the 47 items entered into the analysis. Direct oblimin rotation with Kaiser normalization was used to enhance interpretation. Table 6 shows that the rotation method resulted in what is referred to as simple structure. This means that each item loaded on only a single factor with loadings, or weights, of .40 or greater. After a careful examination of the content of the items in each of the four sets, it became clear that the first factor measures Social development. Item 9, "Follows classroom rules", had the highest factor loading illustrating some of the most important behaviors to teachers within this construct. The second factor contains all of the items that relate to Physical development. Item 16, "Climbs up and down", has the highest loading on this factor and helps define the competencies it measures.

The third factor contained all of the items related to cognitive development and the fourth factor contained all of the items related to Expressive Language development. The highest loading item of the cognitive competencies is item 30, "Recognizes patterns and can repeat them", and this helps define the underlying construct of cognitive development. The Expressive Language items had relatively similar loadings. This solution does vary slightly from the proposed organization of the items as reported by the authors of the *Developmental Continuum*. Specifically, items 38, and 44 through 50, related more to the other cognitively oriented items that they did to the Expressive Language items. Similarly, the Expressive Language items related more to each other than they did to the cognitively oriented items. These results suggest that the remaining emergent literacy items when used as teacher ratings, tap into the overall cognitive development of the child.

The information that teachers record when making their ratings of children on specific items is essentially ordinal, or rank order, in nature and the ordinal scaling used has only four levels. Therefore it will often violate the assumptions of normality inherent in the use of Pearson correlation coefficients by the Principal axis factoring algorithm in SPSS statistical software. In order to be assured that the factor solution was not hampered by the distributional nature of the item level information, an additional solution was pursued that recognizes the ordinal nature of the data. The PRELIS statistical software was used to calculate polychoric between item correlation coefficients. Then the accompanying variance covariance matrix was used by the LISREL software to conduct a factor analysis using maximum likelihood estimation and promax rotation.

This procedure produced identical results to the solution described earlier with respect to number of factors extracted, placement of items on factors, and relative strength of item loadings within factors. Therefore the distributional properties of the item level information were not seen as a limitation to the solution found in SPSS.

These results are promising while representing only one type of evidence for the validity of the *Developmental Continuum*. Future research could build upon these findings with additional types of validity evidence. For example, concurrent validation could examine the relationship between the scale scores of the *Developmental Continuum* and other well-recognized measures of child developmental progress, including those collected by outside observers and assessors. Content validation of both the items and the

language used to describe the behavioral anchors for the ratings scale could also be useful.

### **Reliability and Normative Information for Scale Scores**

Reliability refers to the consistency and stability of the information that is obtained through the use of the *Developmental Continuum*. Consistency refers to the extent to which the information from items within factors agrees with itself. Stability refers to the extent to which the same information remains stable over measurements across time. The data from this study were used to determine estimates of the internal consistency reliability of the factor scores of the *Developmental Continuum*. Table 7 displays these results. Each of the factor scores yielded good reliability coefficients. All of the coefficients were above .92 and reliabilities of .80 or greater are considered acceptable. This is particularly encouraging with respect to the Expressive Language factor considering that it has only four items.

The item level information was used to form scale scores by calculating the mean rating across each set, or factor, of items for each child. Table 7 reports the mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum scores, and quartile boundaries for each of the factor score distributions. This information can be used by teachers, researchers, and program administrators to determine the approximate position of specific children relative to the norm sample of Head Start children. The quartile boundaries can be used for this purpose as they identify where the bottom 25% of children, the children between the 25<sup>th</sup> and 50<sup>th</sup> percentile, the children between the 50<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles, and the upper 25% of children scored on each of the dimensions of child development measured by the *Developmental Continuum*. In addition, the relative position of the typical scores for groups of children can be placed into their approximate relative position within the Head Start population.

The authors of the *Developmental Continuum* are interested in whether a sub-scale within the Cognitive factor can be created that would address the emergent literacy dimension of cognitive development. Items 38 and 44 through 50 were used, based on both theory and their content, to form this sub-scale score. The reliability and distributional properties of this sub-scale are reported in Table 7. The internal consistency reliability was good at .950. Table 8 shows the correlations between the factor and the sub-scale scores. The Emergent Literacy sub-scale is highly correlated (.946) in this sample with the Cognitive factor score. This is due in part to the presence of the same eight items in both scores, but also implies that the Emergent Literacy area of development is related to the overall cognitive development of Head Start children.

Future research will need to examine the stability, or test-retest reliability, of the scale scores. Future research will also need to focus on agreement between raters, an additional type of reliability. It will be important to document the extent to which several teachers, equal in experience with particular children, agree in their ratings of those children when using the items of the *Developmental Continuum*.

### **Conclusion**

This report presents evidence that the *Developmental Continuum*, when properly used in the context of a program that has taken advantage of the proper training and curriculum implementation strategies, can provide information that has adequate

measurement properties. Factor analysis results are reported that demonstrate construct validity, and norm tables have been constructed using an approximately nationally representative sample. Potential users of the information in this report should be cautious about generalizing these results beyond the Head Start population or other very similar populations of predominantly low-income children.

Scoring strategies to form scale scores have been developed in accordance with the factor analysis results and these scale scores demonstrate adequate reliability. Program administrators, researchers, and evaluators who are interested in using the information the *Developmental Continuum* provides, are urged to do so in accordance with widely accepted standards of practice regarding the assessment of young children. In general, it is important to consider several broad principles:

- The reliability and validity of the information provided by assessments for young children tends to increase with the age of the children being assessed.
- No source of information should be used as the sole source for decision-making purposes.
- Teacher ratings are only one source of information about children, and reflect the unique perspective of the teacher and the teacher's experience of the child within the classroom context.
- Multiple sources of information (informants, methods, and measures) provide a more complete picture of the child's developmental progress.

Several sources for standards regarding early childhood assessments, and general information regarding working with young children, along with links to their locations on the web are listed below:

*Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing*

<http://www.aera.net/products/standards.htm>

NAEYC Position Statement on *Standardized Testing of Young Children 3 Through 8 Years of Age*

[http://www.naeyc.org/resources/position\\_statements/pstestin.htm](http://www.naeyc.org/resources/position_statements/pstestin.htm)

NAEYC Position Statement on *Guidelines for Appropriate Curriculum Content and Assessment in Programs Serving Children Ages 3 Through 8*

[http://www.naeyc.org/resources/position\\_statements/pscuras.htm](http://www.naeyc.org/resources/position_statements/pscuras.htm)

National Education Goals Panel's *Principles and Recommendations for Early Childhood Assessments*

<http://www.negp.gov/Reports/prinrec.pdf>

## References

McKey, R.H., Pai-Samant, S. & Sorongon, A. (2002). Curricula being used in Head Start programs: Who gets what? Paper presented at the Sixth National Head Start Research Conference: Washington, DC.

**Table 1.** Demographic characteristics of the sample.

Characteristic	Category	Norm Sample	Head Start Program Nationally
Age in Years	< 3	0.00%	7.00%
	3	25.09%	35.00%
	4	55.79%	54.00%
	5	19.12%	4.00%
Age in Months	Mean	53.23	
	SD	7.06	
Ethnicity	Hispanic	29.90%	29.70%
	White	33.30%	33.80%
	Black	30.20%	29.90%
	Other	6.60%	6.50%
Head Start Region	I	0.00%	3.37%
	II	11.80%	11.24%
	III	13.90%	7.55%
	IV	18.60%	18.21%
	V	27.00%	16.67%
	VI	0.00%	13.62%
	VII	2.80%	4.23%
	VIII	0.80%	2.78%
	IX	25.20%	13.19%
	X	0.00%	2.55%
Gender	Female	48.60%	
	Male	51.40%	
Primary Language in the Home	English	76.50%	
	Spanish	20.30%	
	Other	3.10%	

**Table 2.** Percentage of Children in the Norm Sample at each Developmental Level on the Winter Assessment.

Item	Forerunner	Level I	Level II	Level III
1 Shows ability to adjust to new situations	3.30%	20.70%	42.50%	33.50%
2 Demonstrates appropriate trust in adults	3.70%	25.50%	47.10%	23.70%
3 Recognizes own feelings and manages them appropriately	16.00%	30.10%	37.00%	17.00%
4 Stands up for rights	8.60%	34.50%	43.70%	13.30%
5 Demonstrates self-direction and independence	4.90%	31.60%	44.20%	19.30%
6 Takes responsibility for own well-being	5.10%	32.30%	43.50%	19.20%
7 Respects and cares for classroom environment and materials	6.30%	33.80%	38.60%	21.30%
8 Follows classroom routines	5.80%	33.60%	37.60%	23.00%
9 Follows classroom rules	7.60%	35.80%	37.00%	19.60%
10 Plays well with other children	6.50%	25.90%	40.50%	27.10%
11 Recognizes the feelings of others and responds appropriately	11.40%	37.10%	32.10%	19.40%
12 Shares and respects the rights of others	8.00%	31.30%	44.10%	16.60%
13 Uses thinking skills to resolve conflicts	14.70%	39.90%	36.00%	9.40%
14 Demonstrates basic locomotor skills (running, jumping, hopping)	2.80%	19.00%	42.80%	35.50%
15 Shows balance while moving	3.40%	22.90%	44.70%	29.00%
16 Climbs up and down	1.90%	13.70%	34.00%	50.30%
17 Pedals and steers a tricycle (or other wheeled vehicle)	9.50%	22.70%	32.10%	35.60%
18 Demonstrates throwing, kicking, and catching skills	6.40%	31.80%	41.60%	20.20%
19 Controls small muscles in hands	4.40%	23.00%	43.90%	28.70%
20 Coordinates eye-hand movement	3.40%	23.30%	40.80%	32.50%
21 Uses tools for writing and drawing	7.60%	26.30%	36.70%	29.30%
22 Observes objects and events with curiosity	12.90%	32.00%	42.00%	13.10%
23 Approaches problems flexibly	12.80%	39.80%	36.90%	10.50%
24 Shows persistence in approaching tasks	9.00%	33.70%	46.20%	11.10%
25 Explores cause and effect	16.80%	40.30%	34.90%	8.00%
26 Applies knowledge or experience to a new context	10.20%	39.00%	39.00%	11.80%
27 Classifies objects	10.50%	40.70%	36.50%	12.30%
28 Compares/measures	17.40%	33.00%	40.80%	8.80%
29 Arranges objects in a series	19.30%	36.70%	34.30%	9.70%
30 Recognizes patterns and can repeat them	22.70%	36.20%	31.60%	9.50%
31 Shows awareness of time concepts and sequence	20.00%	32.30%	34.70%	13.10%
32 Shows awareness of position in space	12.60%	32.00%	43.80%	11.60%
33 Uses one-to-one correspondence	9.40%	31.60%	44.20%	14.80%
34 Uses numbers and counting	8.90%	26.40%	40.30%	24.40%
35 Takes on pretend roles and situations	10.60%	31.00%	35.70%	22.70%
36 Makes believe with objects	7.00%	33.20%	36.60%	23.20%
37 Makes and interprets representations	12.70%	32.10%	39.20%	16.00%
38 Hears and discriminates the sounds of language	13.90%	41.70%	32.00%	12.40%
39 Expresses self using words and expanded sentences	7.70%	24.10%	41.80%	26.40%
40 Understands and follows oral directions	3.60%	23.90%	42.30%	30.20%
41 Answers questions	6.70%	29.10%	38.80%	25.50%
42 Asks questions	10.10%	32.00%	39.50%	18.30%
43 Actively participates in conversations	10.60%	29.60%	33.50%	26.40%
44 Enjoys and values reading	8.60%	31.60%	42.60%	17.20%
45 Demonstrates understanding of print concepts	17.10%	39.20%	32.50%	11.20%
46 Demonstrates knowledge of the alphabet	24.10%	34.40%	30.50%	11.00%
47 Uses emerging reading skills to make meaning from print	21.00%	45.90%	26.60%	6.50%
48 Comprehends and interprets meaning from books and other texts	17.00%	41.70%	31.20%	10.10%
49 Understands the purpose of writing	19.80%	39.10%	32.10%	8.90%
50 Writes letters and words	20.90%	31.10%	39.20%	8.80%

Note: n = 1590.

**Table 3.** Percentage of Three-Year-Old Children in the Norm Sample at each Developmental Level on the Winter Assessment.

Item	Forerunner	Level I	Level II	Level III
1 Shows ability to adjust to new situations	8.30%	34.50%	43.00%	14.20%
2 Demonstrates appropriate trust in adults	8.50%	38.70%	43.60%	9.30%
3 Recognizes own feelings and manages them appropriately	28.20%	43.00%	23.30%	5.40%
4 Stands up for rights	16.80%	48.90%	30.80%	3.40%
5 Demonstrates self-direction and independence	11.10%	49.70%	31.90%	7.30%
6 Takes responsibility for own well-being	12.80%	47.90%	34.60%	4.70%
7 Respects and cares for classroom environment and materials	14.20%	50.80%	26.80%	8.20%
8 Follows classroom routines	12.90%	50.70%	28.10%	8.40%
9 Follows classroom rules	16.10%	50.50%	26.80%	6.50%
10 Plays well with other children	13.40%	42.90%	33.90%	9.80%
11 Recognizes the feelings of others and responds appropriately	24.10%	49.60%	21.20%	5.00%
12 Shares and respects the rights of others	18.70%	44.70%	31.80%	4.70%
13 Uses thinking skills to resolve conflicts	29.20%	51.50%	17.40%	1.90%
14 Demonstrates basic locomotor skills (running, jumping, hopping)	8.00%	35.30%	43.00%	13.80%
15 Shows balance while moving	8.90%	41.00%	38.30%	11.90%
16 Climbs up and down	6.40%	29.40%	38.20%	26.00%
17 Pedals and steers a tricycle (or other wheeled vehicle)	20.30%	41.00%	26.60%	12.10%
18 Demonstrates throwing, kicking, and catching skills	15.10%	53.50%	25.50%	5.90%
19 Controls small muscles in hands	10.90%	40.30%	40.60%	8.30%
20 Coordinates eye-hand movement	8.00%	43.80%	39.30%	9.00%
21 Uses tools for writing and drawing	18.40%	48.30%	27.00%	6.30%
22 Observes objects and events with curiosity	26.90%	44.60%	24.80%	3.70%
23 Approaches problems flexibly	25.70%	54.40%	18.00%	1.90%
24 Shows persistence in approaching tasks	18.40%	51.10%	29.00%	1.60%
25 Explores cause and effect	34.10%	50.30%	13.80%	1.90%
26 Applies knowledge or experience to a new context	21.20%	58.20%	18.80%	1.90%
27 Classifies objects	25.10%	56.10%	15.60%	3.20%
28 Compares/measures	35.30%	45.60%	17.80%	1.30%
29 Arranges objects in a series	37.10%	46.40%	15.40%	1.10%
30 Recognizes patterns and can repeat them	45.20%	40.30%	13.40%	1.10%
31 Shows awareness of time concepts and sequence	41.70%	42.50%	14.60%	1.10%
32 Shows awareness of position in space	31.00%	47.60%	20.40%	1.10%
33 Uses one-to-one correspondence	21.60%	49.60%	26.70%	2.10%
34 Uses numbers and counting	23.10%	45.40%	26.20%	5.20%
35 Takes on pretend roles and situations	22.40%	47.70%	24.00%	5.90%
36 Makes believe with objects	14.30%	54.30%	25.20%	6.20%
37 Makes and interprets representations	28.20%	48.70%	18.90%	4.30%
38 Hears and discriminates the sounds of language	25.80%	55.60%	15.70%	2.90%
39 Expresses self using words and expanded sentences	17.00%	40.70%	34.20%	8.10%
40 Understands and follows oral directions	9.10%	45.60%	36.50%	8.80%
41 Answers questions	16.70%	46.90%	28.40%	8.00%
42 Asks questions	21.00%	47.30%	26.30%	5.40%
43 Actively participates in conversations	22.50%	47.50%	22.00%	8.00%
44 Enjoys and values reading	19.70%	48.00%	26.70%	5.60%
45 Demonstrates understanding of print concepts	38.60%	46.40%	12.90%	2.10%
46 Demonstrates knowledge of the alphabet	48.40%	37.90%	11.60%	2.20%
47 Uses emerging reading skills to make meaning from print	43.20%	47.00%	8.70%	1.10%
48 Comprehends and interprets meaning from books and other texts	33.20%	53.30%	12.80%	0.80%
49 Understands the purpose of writing	42.00%	46.10%	11.70%	0.30%
50 Writes letters and words	48.90%	39.40%	10.60%	1.10%

Note: n = 399.

**Table 4.** Percentage of Four-Year-Old Children in the Norm Sample at each Developmental Level on the Winter Assessment.

Item	Forerunner	Level I	Level II	Level III
1 Shows ability to adjust to new situations	1.40%	17.60%	44.30%	36.60%
2 Demonstrates appropriate trust in adults	1.50%	23.30%	47.80%	27.40%
3 Recognizes own feelings and manages them appropriately	12.50%	28.80%	40.10%	18.70%
4 Stands up for rights	5.70%	32.40%	45.80%	16.10%
5 Demonstrates self-direction and independence	2.40%	28.60%	48.80%	20.10%
6 Takes responsibility for own well-being	2.10%	31.00%	44.80%	22.10%
7 Respects and cares for classroom environment and materials	3.40%	31.40%	42.80%	22.40%
8 Follows classroom routines	3.10%	30.40%	41.40%	25.20%
9 Follows classroom rules	4.30%	33.40%	41.00%	21.30%
10 Plays well with other children	4.10%	22.40%	43.50%	30.00%
11 Recognizes the feelings of others and responds appropriately	6.80%	36.10%	34.90%	22.10%
12 Shares and respects the rights of others	4.40%	29.80%	47.10%	18.60%
13 Uses thinking skills to resolve conflicts	10.50%	39.20%	38.60%	11.80%
14 Demonstrates basic locomotor skills (running, jumping, hopping)	0.80%	15.30%	44.70%	39.10%
15 Shows balance while moving	1.40%	19.70%	48.00%	31.00%
16 Climbs up and down	0.40%	9.70%	35.20%	54.80%
17 Pedals and steers a tricycle (or other wheeled vehicle)	5.50%	18.80%	36.50%	39.20%
18 Demonstrates throwing, kicking, and catching skills	4.00%	26.90%	47.90%	21.30%
19 Controls small muscles in hands	1.80%	20.10%	48.80%	29.40%
20 Coordinates eye-hand movement	1.60%	19.00%	43.90%	35.60%
21 Uses tools for writing and drawing	3.70%	22.60%	41.80%	31.90%
22 Observes objects and events with curiosity	7.70%	30.50%	47.50%	14.40%
23 Approaches problems flexibly	9.00%	37.60%	41.60%	11.90%
24 Shows persistence in approaching tasks	5.60%	31.60%	50.40%	12.40%
25 Explores cause and effect	11.30%	40.70%	38.60%	9.40%
26 Applies knowledge or experience to a new context	6.50%	34.80%	45.50%	13.20%
27 Classifies objects	6.00%	39.40%	41.70%	12.90%
28 Compares/measures	11.70%	32.70%	46.20%	9.40%
29 Arranges objects in a series	14.70%	35.70%	38.50%	11.10%
30 Recognizes patterns and can repeat them	16.90%	37.00%	35.10%	11.00%
31 Shows awareness of time concepts and sequence	13.50%	31.70%	41.00%	13.80%
32 Shows awareness of position in space	6.10%	30.10%	51.50%	12.30%
33 Uses one-to-one correspondence	6.00%	28.60%	50.70%	14.70%
34 Uses numbers and counting	4.10%	23.70%	47.80%	24.40%
35 Takes on pretend roles and situations	7.10%	27.00%	40.30%	25.60%
36 Makes believe with objects	4.30%	29.20%	41.20%	25.30%
37 Makes and interprets representations	8.00%	30.40%	44.50%	17.10%
38 Hears and discriminates the sounds of language	10.90%	38.30%	38.40%	12.50%
39 Expresses self using words and expanded sentences	4.70%	20.20%	47.20%	27.90%
40 Understands and follows oral directions	1.30%	18.70%	46.70%	33.30%
41 Answers questions	3.20%	25.20%	44.30%	27.30%
42 Asks questions	6.60%	29.20%	43.70%	20.60%
43 Actively participates in conversations	5.90%	27.80%	38.00%	28.30%
44 Enjoys and values reading	4.70%	29.30%	46.60%	19.40%
45 Demonstrates understanding of print concepts	10.10%	39.80%	37.70%	12.30%
46 Demonstrates knowledge of the alphabet	17.70%	36.10%	35.80%	10.40%
47 Uses emerging reading skills to make meaning from print	14.40%	48.90%	29.90%	6.80%
48 Comprehends and interprets meaning from books and other texts	12.60%	40.60%	36.10%	10.80%
49 Understands the purpose of writing	13.10%	39.60%	37.40%	9.90%
50 Writes letters and words	12.80%	32.80%	45.50%	8.90%

Note: n = 887.

**Table 5.** Percentage of Five-Year-Old Children in the Norm Sample at each Developmental Level on the Winter Assessment.

Item	Forerunner	Level I	Level II	Level III
1 Shows ability to adjust to new situations	2.40%	11.30%	36.30%	50.00%
2 Demonstrates appropriate trust in adults	3.40%	14.50%	49.70%	32.40%
3 Recognizes own feelings and manages them appropriately	9.70%	16.60%	46.20%	27.60%
4 Stands up for rights	6.00%	21.00%	54.80%	18.10%
5 Demonstrates self-direction and independence	3.80%	16.20%	47.10%	33.00%
6 Takes responsibility for own well-being	3.40%	15.50%	51.40%	29.70%
7 Respects and cares for classroom environment and materials	4.10%	18.20%	41.90%	35.70%
8 Follows classroom routines	4.50%	20.50%	38.90%	36.10%
9 Follows classroom rules	5.90%	23.10%	38.80%	32.20%
10 Plays well with other children	4.50%	13.40%	40.90%	41.20%
11 Recognizes the feelings of others and responds appropriately	7.90%	22.90%	38.60%	30.70%
12 Shares and respects the rights of others	4.20%	17.70%	51.60%	26.50%
13 Uses thinking skills to resolve conflicts	7.50%	26.80%	53.20%	12.50%
14 Demonstrates basic locomotor skills (running, jumping, hopping)	1.40%	8.10%	36.80%	53.70%
15 Shows balance while moving	2.20%	8.20%	43.70%	45.90%
16 Climbs up and down	0.70%	4.60%	25.10%	69.60%
17 Pedals and steers a tricycle (or other wheeled vehicle)	7.00%	10.40%	26.70%	55.90%
18 Demonstrates throwing, kicking, and catching skills	1.80%	16.90%	45.00%	36.30%
19 Controls small muscles in hands	3.20%	8.10%	34.30%	54.40%
20 Coordinates eye-hand movement	2.80%	8.80%	33.80%	54.60%
21 Uses tools for writing and drawing	4.90%	8.00%	34.60%	52.40%
22 Observes objects and events with curiosity	8.70%	19.10%	49.80%	22.40%
23 Approaches problems flexibly	6.30%	25.80%	49.40%	18.50%
24 Shows persistence in approaching tasks	6.10%	16.40%	57.10%	20.40%
25 Explores cause and effect	9.60%	26.10%	52.10%	12.10%
26 Applies knowledge or experience to a new context	6.20%	25.40%	47.50%	21.00%
27 Classifies objects	3.60%	23.80%	49.80%	22.80%
28 Compares/measures	9.70%	16.70%	56.50%	17.10%
29 Arranges objects in a series	8.60%	26.50%	47.40%	17.50%
30 Recognizes patterns and can repeat them	9.40%	28.30%	45.70%	16.70%
31 Shows awareness of time concepts and sequence	9.20%	19.90%	43.50%	27.30%
32 Shows awareness of position in space	6.50%	16.90%	53.20%	23.40%
33 Uses one-to-one correspondence	2.60%	15.20%	49.40%	32.70%
34 Uses numbers and counting	3.60%	8.00%	37.70%	50.70%
35 Takes on pretend roles and situations	4.70%	19.90%	38.00%	37.30%
36 Makes believe with objects	4.40%	15.70%	39.10%	40.90%
37 Makes and interprets representations	5.00%	14.70%	51.40%	28.80%
38 Hears and discriminates the sounds of language	6.70%	32.60%	35.80%	24.80%
39 Expresses self using words and expanded sentences	4.00%	12.60%	36.30%	47.10%
40 Understands and follows oral directions	2.90%	9.70%	37.40%	50.00%
41 Answers questions	2.90%	15.60%	37.10%	44.40%
42 Asks questions	5.50%	19.60%	45.50%	29.50%
43 Actively participates in conversations	8.10%	11.20%	35.80%	44.90%
44 Enjoys and values reading	4.60%	16.00%	52.70%	26.70%
45 Demonstrates understanding of print concepts	7.70%	27.60%	44.50%	20.20%
46 Demonstrates knowledge of the alphabet	10.20%	24.70%	40.60%	24.40%
47 Uses emerging reading skills to make meaning from print	9.60%	35.80%	41.30%	13.30%
48 Comprehends and interprets meaning from books and other texts	7.60%	28.50%	42.60%	21.30%
49 Understands the purpose of writing	8.80%	28.30%	44.90%	18.00%
50 Writes letters and words	6.90%	15.20%	59.20%	18.80%

Note: n = 304.

**Table 6.** Factor Loadings for the Developmental Continuum Items.

Item	Social	Physical	Cognitive	Expressive Language
1 Shows ability to adjust to new situations	0.537			
2 Demonstrates appropriate trust in adults	0.511			
3 Recognizes own feelings and manages them appropriately	0.520			
4 Stands up for rights	0.587			
5 Demonstrates self-direction and independence	0.535			
6 Takes responsibility for own well-being	0.714			
7 Respects and cares for classroom environment and materials	0.806			
8 Follows classroom routines	0.908			
9 Follows classroom rules	0.978			
10 Plays well with other children	0.657			
11 Recognizes the feelings of others and responds appropriately	0.591			
12 Shares and respects the rights of others	0.751			
13 Uses thinking skills to resolve conflicts	0.639			
14 Demonstrates basic locomotor skills (running, jumping, hopping)		0.733		
15 Shows balance while moving		0.770		
16 Climbs up and down		0.845		
17 Pedals and steers a tricycle (or other wheeled vehicle)		0.571		
18 Demonstrates throwing, kicking, and catching skills		0.590		
19 Controls small muscles in hands		0.576		
20 Coordinates eye-hand movement		0.581		
22 Observes objects and events with curiosity			0.548	
23 Approaches problems flexibly			0.489	
25 Explores cause and effect			0.605	
26 Applies knowledge or experience to a new context			0.525	
27 Classifies objects			0.700	
28 Compares/measures			0.667	
29 Arranges objects in a series			0.800	
30 Recognizes patterns and can repeat them			0.840	
31 Shows awareness of time concepts and sequence			0.605	
32 Shows awareness of position in space			0.518	
33 Uses one-to-one correspondence			0.605	
34 Uses numbers and counting			0.569	
35 Takes on pretend roles and situations			0.417	
36 Makes believe with objects			0.418	
37 Makes and interprets representations			0.524	
38 Hears and discriminates the sounds of language			0.582	
39 Expresses self using words and expanded sentences				-0.576
41 Answers questions				-0.586
42 Asks questions				-0.585
43 Actively participates in conversations				-0.601
44 Enjoys and values reading			0.447	
45 Demonstrates understanding of print concepts			0.780	
46 Demonstrates knowledge of the alphabet			0.906	
47 Uses emerging reading skills to make meaning from print			0.847	
48 Comprehends and interprets meaning from books and other texts			0.740	
49 Understands the purpose of writing			0.806	
50 Writes letters and words			0.792	

Note: n = 1590. Only factor loadings greater than .4 are displayed.

**Table 7.** Properties of the Distribution of the Factor and Sub-Scale Scores.

Factors	Mean	SD	Min	Percentiles			Max	Number of Items	Alpha
				25 <sup>th</sup>	50 <sup>th</sup>	75 <sup>th</sup>			
<i>Social</i>	2.7364	0.71248	1.00	2.2308	2.7692	3.2308	4.00	13	0.963
<i>Physical</i>	3.0234	0.71091	1.00	2.5714	3.0000	3.5714	4.00	7	0.926
<i>Cognitive</i>	2.4741	0.73530	1.00	1.9565	2.4783	3.0000	4.00	23	0.979
<i>Expressive Language</i>	2.7833	0.84815	1.00	2.0000	3.0000	3.5000	4.00	4	0.947
Sub-scale									
<i>Emergent Literacy</i>	2.3727	0.76514	1.00	1.8750	2.3750	3.0000	4.00	8	0.950

Note: n = 1590.

**Table 8.** Correlations between factor scores.

Factor or Sub-scale	<i>Social Development</i>	<i>Physical Development</i>	<i>Cognitive Development</i>	<i>Expressive Language</i>
<i>Physical Development</i>	0.753			
<i>Cognitive Development</i>	0.841	0.758		
<i>Expressive Language</i>	0.769	0.683	0.834	
<i>Emergent Literacy</i>	0.774	0.686	0.946	0.793

Note.  $p < .001$  for all coefficients.